

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/554,223 | MCVEY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | DANIEL BERNS | 1793 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: NOA pending

(1) DANIEL BERNS.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Thomas E. Kocovsky, Esq. (appln'ts atty.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 August 2009

Time: 4:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

81-90

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Part III, below, and the attached Notice of Allowability.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/DANIEL BERNS/
Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)